



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

# Journal Pre-proof

Effects of a shock microcycle after COVID-19 lockdown period in elite soccer players

L. Vardakis Y. Michailidis Ph.D A. Mandroukas C. Zelenitsas G. Mavrommatis T. Metaxas



PII: S0765-1597(22)00176-9

DOI: <https://doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.scispo.2022.07.004>

Reference: SCISPO 3691

To appear in: *Science et Sports*

Received Date: 22 March 2021

Accepted Date: 13 July 2022

Please cite this article as: Vardakis L, Michailidis Y, Mandroukas A, Zelenitsas C, Mavrommatis G, Metaxas T, Effects of a shock microcycle after COVID-19 lockdown period in elite soccer players, *Science et Sports* (2022), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scispo.2022.07.004>

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2020 Published by Elsevier.

**Effects of a shock microcycle after COVID-19 lockdown period in elite soccer players**

**Effets d'un entraînement fractionné de haute intensité (HIIT) après une période de confinement due au COVID 19, chez des joueurs de football d'élite**

**Short title: Return to play**

L. Vardakis<sup>1</sup>, Y. Michailidis<sup>1\*</sup>, A. Mandroukas<sup>2</sup>, C. Zelenitsas<sup>3</sup>, G. Mavrommatis<sup>1</sup>, T. Metaxas<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratory of Evaluation of Human Biological Performance, Department of Physical Education and Sport Science, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece

<sup>2</sup>Physical Education and Sport, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

<sup>3</sup>Section of Sport Medicine and Biology of Exercise, School of Physical Education and Sport Science, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

**\*Corresponding author:**

Yiannis Michailidis, Ph.D., Special Teaching Staff—Soccer, Laboratory of Evaluation of Human Biological Performance, Department of Physical Education and Sports Sciences, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, New Buildings of Laboratories, P.O. 57001, University Campus of Themi, Thessaloniki, Greece. Tel +30-2310992233, e-mail: ioannimd@phed.auth.gr

**Summary**

**Objectives:** Decreases in physical fitness are inevitable after two to six week period of detraining in athletes. Lockdown period changed the characteristics of soccer players' training.

**Aim of the study:** The aim of our study was to apply a HIIT shock-microcycle (SM) after return to training and assess its effect on players' performance.

**Equipment and methods:** Nineteen elite professional soccer players during the lockdown period (LP) from March to May 2020 (8 weeks) performed 3-4 individual training sessions per week. The training sessions included running boots of anaerobic short and aerobic prolonged duration intervals. Intensity was determined according to lab ergospirometry test 2 weeks before LP. All the players followed an indoor program, 3-6 sessions per week consisted of core, balance and flexibility exercises (~45 minutes). SM training content was same for both groups and took place the first two weeks after LP, consisted by eight high intensity interval training sessions (HIIT), two technique, two tactical sessions and two days off.

**Results:** Repeated sprint ability mean time ( $RSA_{MeanTime}$ ) and Repeated sprint ability performance – sprint no 4,5,6 ( $RSA_{4,5,6S}$ ) improved after SM ( $p=0.025$ , Effect size: $r^2=0.331$ ,  $p=0.010$ , Effect size: $r^2=0.411$ ,  $p=0.009$ , Effect size: $r^2=0.418$ ,  $p=0.037$ , Effect size: $r^2=0.293$ , respectively). Yo-Yo intermitted recovery test level 2 ( $YYIR2_{TotalDistance}$ ) that covered by players during the 2<sup>nd</sup> measurement was 10.8% longer ( $p=0.004$ , Effect size: $r^2=0.483$ ). Also, the  $YYIR2_{HeartRateRecovery}$  percentage was lower during the 2<sup>nd</sup> measurement ( $p=0.014$ , Effect size: $r^2=0.107$ ).

**Conclusions:** These results indicate that SM can improve YYIR2 and RSA performance thus it is a useful tool to regain physical attributes in a short period.

**Keywords:** soccer, COVID-19, microcycle, high intensity training, elite players

## Résumé

**Objectifs.** L'altération des capacités physiques est inévitable après une période de deux à six semaines d'absence d'entraînement chez les athlètes. La période de confinement due au COVID19 a changé les caractéristiques de la préparation des joueurs de football.

**But de l'étude.** Le but de notre étude était d'appliquer un microcycle d'entraînement fractionné de haute intensité (HIIT, ou microcycle de choc SM), après le retour à l'entraînement, et d'évaluer ses effets sur les performances des joueurs.

**Matériel et méthodes.** Dix-neuf footballeurs professionnels d'élite ont effectué 3-4 séances d'entraînement individuel par semaine pendant la période de confinement de

mars à mai 2020 (LP, 8 semaines). Les séances prévoyaient des exercices de courses à pied par intervalles, de courte et longue durée. L'intensité des exercices évaluée par des tests d'ergospirométrie en laboratoire deux semaines avant la LP. Tous les joueurs ont suivi le programme en salle close (3-6 séances par semaine) comprenant des exercices de base, d'équilibre et de flexibilité (~45 minutes). Le contenu du HIIT était le même pour les deux groupes et a débuté après la LP, pendant les deux semaines suivant l'entraînement et comprenant 8 sessions de HIIT, 2 sessions d'entraînement technique, 2 sessions de tactiques et 2 jours de congé.

**Résultats:** RSAMeanTime et RSA4,5,6S testé après le HIIT ( $p=0.025$ ,  $p=0.010$ ,  $p=0.009$ ,  $p=0.037$  respectivement). YYIR2Total Distance: la distance totale parcourue par les joueurs durant la seconde évaluation était plus longue de 10.8% ( $p=0.004$ ). De même, le pourcentage de YYIR2HeartRateRecovery était plus bas durant la seconde évaluation ( $p=0.014$ ).

**Conclusions.** Les résultats de l'étude ont démontré que le SM peut améliorer les performances YYIR2 et RSA, et constitue une arme utile pour la régénération des aptitudes physiques dans un espace limité.

**Mots clés:** football, COVID-19, microcycle, entraînement fractionné de haute intensité, joueurs d'élite

## 1. Introduction

Our society faced the biggest pandemic during the last century. Specifically, on January 30, 2020, the World Health Organization (WHO) announced that the new coronavirus outbreak is a public health emergency of international concern ([www.who.int](http://www.who.int)) [1]. Countries all over the world established measures to get through this situation. Part of that was the lockdown. During this period all non-essential movement restricted for the citizens. In Greece, the lockdown announced on the 22nd and started on 23rd March. All organized sports activities were suspended, soccer clubs stopped the training sessions and athletes had to stay home or train individually at outdoor sites (not organized facilities). Wearable devices were used by fitness coaches to guide players and control their training volume. Tate, et al. (2015) [2] recommend that apps and wearable devices are useful tools to track and motivate individuals.

During the lockdown period all the official matches and training sessions were postponed, so fitness coaches were providing individual training instructions to maintain the players' competitive level. It is known that decreasing the volume, the intensity and the density of the training can lead to detraining. More specifically, it is noticed [3] that a prolonged period of rest after the competitive season causes the partial or complete loss of training-induced physiological and performance adaptations, in response to an insufficient training stimulus, which is defined as detraining. Decreases in physical fitness are inevitable after two to six week period of detraining in athletes [4-8]. The detraining can impair cardiovascular and neuromuscular performance [6]. When lockdown started, it was unclear how long the players would be out of organized team training sessions. Also, the fields for a long time were closed and the only places where an athlete could practice were the parks and the streets.

After the lockdown period (Spring 2020), the football federations, depending on the political decisions in relation to the management of the pandemic, provided a short period at the teams to prepare and return at a competitive level to complete the championships. High intensity training (HIIT) is a common practice to retain or regain performance in a short period. This type of training had shown that improves soccer players' fitness levels, such as sprints, and speed endurance [9] and is a more efficient method of inducing skeletal muscle adaptation in comparison to moderate-intensity training [10]. In recent years to improve endurance quickly, a new training

method has been adopted, where in a short period of time (e.g., 2 weeks) many HIITs are taking place. This short period is called HIIT - Shock microcycle (SM) [11,12]. A recent review indicates the sustainability and effectiveness of high intensity interval training shock microcycle in different athletes to improve intermittent and continuous running performance [8]. Similar benefits also reported on soccer [11, 13].

Interestingly, Joo (2018) [14] reported that the restoration of performance (YYIR2 and RSA) after a period of detraining requires an equal period of high intensity aerobic workouts. Therefore, the limited preparation time that federations had provided, led the coaches to use the HIIT-Shock microcycle structure that according to the literature could have positive effects on players' physical condition in a short time.

However, studies investigating the effect of a SM on soccer players are limited [4, 11, 13] while none have been performed on high-level soccer players. Therefore, the aim of our study was to apply a shock-microcycle (SM) after the lockdown period and return to training and assess its effect on elite professional soccer players' performance.

The hypothesis of the study was that a SM can be an effective approach to improve motor performance of elite soccer players in a very short period.

## **2. Materials and Methods**

### **2.1 Experimental Design**

The COVID-19 intervention period (C-19 IP) (Spring 2020) lasted eight weeks, during COVID-19 lockdown period for 10 weeks (March to May). The players performed 4-5 outdoor training sessions per week. The characteristics of the training program are presented in Table 1. All the players followed an indoor program of 4-6 sessions per week consisted of core, balance and flexibility exercises (~45 minutes). The training intensity was determined according to the lab tests made two weeks before the lockdown period (treadmill protocol to assess the respiratory exchange ratio (RER) and lowest speed at  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$  ( $v\dot{V}O_{2max}$ ). Training monitoring through this period was made using a mobile phone app (polar beat) and polar flow team web application. This method has some advantages: First no special equipment requirement and second coaches can review the data immediately after the session ends [15].

**Table 1.** COVID-19 intervention period (IP) training plan

Following C-19 IP was allowed by the government to use official training ground facilities. On the first day a low intensity field training session took place (mobility, technique) and measure of the anthropometric characteristics. On the second day the players did RSA test (20-20m, recovery 20 seconds, six repetitions) [16]. The YYIR2 test completed at fourth day (48 hours after the previous testing day). Between testing days, the players had done one recovery training session (flexibility, mobility) [17]. The study design presented in Figure 1.

The SM took place the following two weeks, consisted of eight high intensity interval training sessions (HIIT), two technique, two tactical sessions and two days off. The HIIT sessions were divided into two different programs (program A and program B).

Training content:

- Plyometrics: 4 drills, 3 sets consisting of 24 two leg hurdle jumps 50cm, 48 single leg hurdle jumps 30cm, 12 accelerations 5m.
- High intensity interval training: Program A – 8 repetitions at 120%  $vVO_2$ max speed, duration 15'', recovery 15'' walking (20m), sets: 3, recovery / sets: 2'. Program B – 4 repetitions at 1.0 RER, duration 4', recovery 2'.
- Repeated sprint training: 35m sprint with change of direction, recovery 20'', repetitions 6, sets 2, recovery / sets 3'.
- Small side games: 4v4 + 2 GK, pitch area: 102m<sup>2</sup>/ player, duration 4', recovery 2', sets: 4. Before main part of the above sessions the players made a standardized warm up (20' with mobility, flexibility and technique drills).
- Technique training: 20' general and specific warm up, 20' coordination & technique drills, 20' specific position passing drills.
- Tactical training: 25' general and specific warm up, 45' low intensity team tactics

Shock microcycle structure presented in Table 2.

**Figure 1.** Study design



According to the COVID-19 safety instructions during the first week after C-19 IP the players trained in small groups (4-6 players) and at the second they allowed to participate in full squad training. During this period players' load monitoring was made using GPS units (Apex 18 Hz, STATSports, Northern Ireland) placed on a vest in a pocket on their scapulae. The following parameters used for analysis: total distance (TOTD), high metabolic load distance (HMLD), high speed running distance (HSR), accelerations  $>2\text{m/s}^2$  (AC2), accelerations  $>3\text{m/s}^2$  (AC3), decelerations  $<-2\text{m/s}^2$  (DC2), decelerations  $<-3\text{m/s}^2$  (DC3).

The HMLD is a metabolic variable defined as the distance, expressed in meters, covered by a player when the metabolic power exceeds  $25.5\text{W kg}^{-1}$ . HMLD variables include all high-speed running, accelerations and decelerations above  $3\text{m/s}^2$  [18]. The intensity thresholds used (for HSR) have been established based on previous studies [19].

**Table 2.** Structure of the shock microcycles and RPE values

After SM anthropometric characteristics and the field-testing procedure repeated similar to the previous protocol (two testing days with one rehabilitation day between).

During the lockdown period, the players received a personalized nutritional program from the team's dietitian tailored to the individual energy needs of the players as they spent much of the day at home. After the end of the lockdown, the nutritional program was adjusted to the new requirements of the players as they participated again in the team's training sessions.

## 2.2 Subjects

Power analysis was performed before the study by setting an effect size 0.6, a probability error of 0.05, and a power of 0.9 for 1 group and 2 measurements points (pre and post). Power analysis estimations were based on studies that examined the effects of training protocols on performance of soccer players [11, 14]. The analysis indicated that 17 subjects were the smallest acceptable number of participants. Nineteen soccer player members of a Super league Greece team (finished at the first

six positions on the table and participated at play offs). All of them were in field players (three central defenders, five side defenders, three midfielders, four wingers and four strikers). Six players who didn't wish to take outdoor training sessions during lockdown were excluded. One more player was excluded because he missed three training sessions during SM due to pain on his Achilles tendon.

All testing procedures were fully explained in detail to participants, prior to the start of the study. A consent form for participation in the study was read and then signed by the participants. Moreover, the study was approved by the ethical committee of the Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, in accordance with the ethical standards in sport and exercise research.

### **2.3 Anthropometric measurements**

An electronic digital scale and Seca height-measurement (Seca 220e, Seca, Hamburg, Germany) were used to measure the body mass and height of the players. These two measurements had an accuracy of 0.1kg and 0.1cm in the respective evaluations. The participants, during the measurements, were barefoot, wearing only their underwear. To assess body fat, a Lafayette skinfold caliber (Lafayette, Ins. Co., Indiana) was used to measure the thickness of the soccer players' hypodermic fat in four of their skinfolds (biceps, triceps, suprailiac, subscapular). All skinfold measurements were taken on the right side of the body, as described by Slaughter et al. (1988) [20]. Finally, the body density was calculated according to the Durnin and Rahaman equation (1967) [21] for people over 16 years of age, and body fat percentage was calculated with the use of Siri equation (1956) [22].

### **2.4 Yo-Yo Intermitted Recovery Test Level 2**

The YYIR2 consists of repeated 20-m runs back and forth between the starting, turning, and finish lines at a progressively increased speed, which is controlled by audio beeps from a CD-player. When the subject failed twice to reach the finish line in time, the athlete stopped the test and the distance covered was recorded as the test result. The coefficient of variation for test-retest trials was 5.5%. In combination with this test, two measurements of heart rate were used. The first measurement concerned the percentage of the maximum heart rate during the 6th minute of the test (YYIR2<sub>6HR</sub>) and the second measurement the heart rate one minute after the end of the test (YYIR2<sub>HRR</sub>).

### 2.5 Repeated Sprint Ability Test

For the assessment of RSA was used a test consisting of six 40 m (20 + 20 m) shuttle sprints separated by 20 s of passive recovery [16]. The athletes started from a line, sprinted for 20 m, touched a line with a foot, and came back to the starting line as fast as possible. After 20 s of passive recovery, the athletes started again. A photocell system was used to measure sprint time of each sprint (Microgate, Bolzano, Italia). The indicators we used for the RSA assessment were the best time in a single trial ( $RSA_{BS}$ ), the time of each of the six sprints (e.g.  $RSA_{4S}$  – time on 4<sup>th</sup> sprint) and the mean time of the six sprints ( $RSA_{MT}$ ). The coefficient of variation for test-retest trials was 4.9%.

### 2.6 Internal load

Borg Rating Perceived Exertion Scale (RPE, CR10) and RPE \* training duration was used to record internal load. At the end of training players were asked to rate RPE. Examinees were familiarized with the use of RPE many years ago.

### 2.7 Statistical Analysis

All the statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS (version 25.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and the results are reported as mean  $\pm$  *SD*. Data were analyzed by a paired sample T-test. The level of significance was set at  $P < 0.05$ . Before analysis, Shapiro-Wilks test was used to detect any deviation from all departures from normality. Furthermore, the effect size ‘ $r^2 = t^2 / (t^2 + df)$ ’ for paired samples T-test was calculated. The thresholds for small, medium, and large effects were defined as 0.01, 0.09, and 0.25 respectively.

## 3. Results

No differences were observed between pre and post measurements of anthropometric characteristics. However, as we can see the effect size of the changes were medium in weight and body fat. Results are presented in Table 3. The internal load of the players is presented in Table 2.

**Table 3.** Participants’ anthropometric characteristics.

For RSA<sub>MT</sub>, T-test analysis revealed that time significantly decreased from pre to post measurement ( $t=2.540$ ,  $p=0.025$ ,  $r^2=0.331$ , ES=large). For RSA<sub>BS</sub> analysis showed no significant changes over time ( $t=0.065$ ,  $p=0.949$ ,  $r^2=0.0003$ , ES=small). For the first three sprints of RSA test no differences observed between pre and post measurements (RSA<sub>s1</sub>:  $t=0.119$ ,  $p=0.907$ ,  $r^2=0.001$ , ES=small; RSA<sub>s2</sub>:  $t=1.471$ ,  $p=0.165$ ,  $r^2=0.142$ , ES=medium; RSA<sub>s3</sub>:  $t=1.487$ ,  $p=0.161$ ,  $r^2=0.145$ , ES=medium). However, for the last 3 sprints of the RSA test soccer players improved their performance (RSA<sub>s4</sub>:  $t=3.016$ ,  $p=0.010$ ,  $r^2=0.411$ , ES=large; RSA<sub>s5</sub>:  $t=3.053$ ,  $p=0.009$ ,  $r^2=0.418$ , ES=large; RSA<sub>s6</sub>:  $t=2.323$ ,  $p=0.037$ ,  $r^2=0.293$ , ES=large). RSA results are presented in Figure 2.

**Figure 2.** Repeated sprint ability (RSA) results.

For YYIR2<sub>TD</sub> T-test analysis revealed that the distance covered was significantly increased at post measurement ( $t=-3.486$ ,  $p=0.004$ ,  $r^2=0.483$ , ES=large). For YYIR2<sub>HR6</sub> the results showed a decrement at post measurement ( $t=2.852$ ,  $p=0.014$ ,  $r^2=0.385$ , ES=large). No differences between the measurements were observed for YYIR2<sub>HRR</sub> ( $t=1.245$ ,  $p=0.245$ ,  $r^2=0.107$ , ES=medium). YYIR2 results are presented in Table 4.

**Table 4.** Results of the Yo-Yo intermittent recovery test level 2 (distance, heart rate)

The mean values of TOTDS, HMLD, HRS, AC2, AC3, DC2, and DC3 during 2 weeks of shock microcycle are ~~were~~ presented in Figure 3.

**Figure 3.** A. Distances covered during the 2 weeks of shock microcycle: Total distance, High metabolic load distance, High speed run distance. B. Accelerations and Decelerations that performed by players during training.

#### 4. Discussion

The results showed that the SM improved performance in the RSA test and more specifically the average time and time on RSA<sub>s4,5,6</sub>. Also, the distance covered in YYIR2 during the 2nd measurement was 10.8% greater, the YYIR2<sub>HR6</sub> was

reduced and the heart rate of the players after YYR2 returned faster to the resting pulses.

In this study, we used YYR2, an intermittent test to assess the aerobic capacity of players and we observed a significant improvement. Our findings are in line with those of previous research which found that the HIIT application improves performance in intermittent tests until exhaustion (YYR2, 30-15 intermittent fitness test) [11, 13]. More specifically, the players during the 2<sup>nd</sup> measurement covered 10.8% more distance compared to the 1<sup>st</sup> measurement. The players' level participated in previous studies was lower in comparison to ours, and researchers used the YYR1 test. The improvement percentage in these studies is greater than 20%. However, one study reported an improvement of 0.75% [4]. One possible explanation given by the researchers for this slight improvement is that the interventional program was implemented just after the end of the season and the aerobic level of the players was at high level. Also, the re-evaluation in the study was applied 36h after the end of the interventional program and there probably wasn't enough time to accommodate adaptation after an intense period of training. Higher percentage of improvement reported by the other studies are likely due to the lower physical level of the participants, which means that they have greater margins for improvement. Also, these studies before the 2<sup>nd</sup> measurement had greater time to recover (4 to 7 days). The main difference in the present study is that it was carried out in high-level soccer players and the 2<sup>nd</sup> measurement was carried out immediately after the end of the interventional program. It should be noted here that of the existing studies only one [13] has used a control group. This makes us wary of the magnitude of the effect of this training method.

The physiological mechanisms that probably improved after the effect of the intervention program and improved performance are an increase of mitochondrial content and the improvement of motor unit recruitment. More specifically, an earlier study has reported that mitochondria can increase in a short period of time if the volume of training increases [23]. However, this study did not perform a histochemical examination to confirm this increase. This increase in mitochondria and enzymes also causes metabolic adjustments helping athletes use lipids to produce energy at higher movement intensities [24-26]. Martinez-Valdes, et al. (2017) [27] reported that the implementation of a HIIT program for a short period of time can

induce an increase in discharge rate of high-threshold motor units improving the efficiency of movement.

The SM didn't affect the percentage heart rate recovery [28]. More recent studies demonstrate that HR decline is a useful tool to detect the shape and fatigue of the players after specific soccer training [29] and athletes engaged in intermittent sports are likely to have faster heart rate recovery during the first 20s after maximal exercise than their counterparts trained for continuous performance [30]. It is possible that the rapid decrease in heart rate after a maximum test depends more on body adjustments that do not occur after short HIIT programs (maximum stroke volume, total hemoglobin mass, capillary density). These changes seem to require more exercise time to occur [31, 32].

The players improved their performance at  $RSA_{MT}$  (1.38%) and their performance at  $RSA_{4,5,6S}$  (1.90%, 1.88%, 2.12%, respectively). RSA is positively associated with relative  $VO_{2max}$  and the ability to perform intermittent high-intensity efforts during soccer matches [16]. In the same study [16] observed that HIIT identified significant relationships between RSA,  $VO_{2max}$  and  $VO_{2-kinetics}$  in soccer players. The improvement of YYIR2 performance probably indicates an improvement of  $VO_{2max}$  and this could explain the increased RSA performance. Our results are in line with previous studies [4, 11, 33] and demonstrate that SM can improve RSA performance. The improvement on the RSA test was shown at the last sprints of the test, where the aerobic ability of the players has a crucial role in their performance

Regarding the adaptations it is known from previous studies that training at high intensities ( $VO_{2max}$ ) causes peripheral adjustments, such as increase of muscle mitochondrial and capillary density and central adjustments and increase of stroke volume and cardiac output [34]. A recent study [35] showed that intermittent exercise caused a greater increase in the number of mitochondria than long-term exercise with stable pace. Similar findings are reported by Franson et al., (2018) [36] enhances to a greater extent the oxidative capacity of muscles compared to continuous endurance training. The two main adaptational mechanisms benefits from HIIT are a) the hypoxic effect of reducing the level of  $O_2$  in the muscles and the enhanced aerobic metabolism by increasing the expression of PGC-1 $\alpha$  mRNA which increases the biogenesis of mitochondria [37, 38] and b) improving the buffering capacity of the muscles [39].

The players improved RSA mean after the intervention program. The training likely improved a) the performance of glycolysis and the ATP-PC energy system [40], b) the ability to homeostasis muscles and manages lactic acid [39] and c) the percentage of FT oxidative fibers [40]. However, the above are hypothesis since the study did not carry out measurements that prove them and confirm the factor that is responsible for the improvement in performance.

This study shows some limitations. Initially the sample is limited, so we are wary of generalizing the conclusions. Also, in the study, no control group was used. Further research is needed to identify how many HIIT workouts have to be applied on a SM to obtain the best results on improving fitness.

## **5. Conclusions**

The present study showed that a two-week shock microcycle can improve the RSA performance and YYIR2 performance of elite professional soccer players. This study shows that in well-trained soccer players, for a short period of two weeks, it is possible to apply 4 HIIT workouts per week. In the model we used in this study, high-intensity workouts included running exercises (HIIT, RSA) and small-sided games. The application of this SM should be considered together with the overall training load of the players to reduce injury risk and to gain the maximum possible physical adjustments. Also, after increased load training block (SM), appropriate recovery should be given to display supercompensation and increase performance.

## **6. Practical Applications**

The above SM potentially can be applied in case that increase of performance in limited time is needed. Such cases could be the winter holidays, the interruptions during the season due to national team matches or the preparation for early pre-season European cup games. Another condition we could have benefits from applying a SM, is during return to play after a player's injury. However, during periods of significant acute increase in players' load, monitoring should be applied in detail (on internal and external load) to recognize fatigue and overreaching symptoms to ensure the health and the best performance of the players.

The authors declare that they have no competing interest.

## References

1. World Health Organization. Statement on the second meeting of the International Health Regulations (2005) Emergency Committee regarding the outbreak of novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV). Available at: [https://www.who.int/news-room/detail/30-01-2020-statement-on-the-second-meeting-of-the-international-health-regulations-\(2005\)-emergency-committee-regarding-the-outbreak-of-novel-coronavirus-\(2019-ncov\)](https://www.who.int/news-room/detail/30-01-2020-statement-on-the-second-meeting-of-the-international-health-regulations-(2005)-emergency-committee-regarding-the-outbreak-of-novel-coronavirus-(2019-ncov)). [accessed 12.06.2020].
2. Tate DF, Lyons EJ, Valle CG. High-tech tools for exercise motivation: use and role of technologies such as the internet, mobile applications, social media, and video games. *Diabetes Spectr* 2015;28:45–54.
3. Mujika I, Padilla S. Detraining: loss of training-induced physiological and performance adaptations. Part I: short term insufficient training stimulus. *Sports Med* 2000;30(2):79-87.
4. Christensen PM, Krstrup P, Gunnarsson TP, Kiilerich K, Nybo L, Bangsbo J. VO<sub>2</sub> kinetics and performance in soccer players after intense training and inactivity. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2011;43:1716–1724.
5. Koundourakis NE, Androulakis NE, Malliaraki N, Tsatsanis C, Venihaki M, Margioris AN. Discrepancy between exercise performance, body composition, and sex steroid response after a six-week detraining period in professional soccer players. *PLoS One* 2014;9(2):e87803, 2014.
6. Mujika I, Padilla S. Muscular characteristics of detraining in humans. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2001;33:1297–1303.
7. Rodríguez-Fernández A, Sánchez-Sánchez J, Ramirez-Campillo R, Rodríguez-Marroyo JA, Villa Vicente JG, Nakamura FY. Effects of short-term in-season break detraining on repeated-sprint ability and intermittent endurance according to initial performance of soccer player. *PloS one* 2018; 13(8):e0201111.
8. Sotiropoulos A, Travlos AK, Gissis I, Souglis AG, Grezios A. The effect of a 4-week training regimen on body fat and aerobic capacity of professional soccer players during the transition period. *J Strength Cond Res* 2009;23:1697–1703.
9. Kotzamanidis C, Chatzopoulos D, Michailidis C, Pappaiakovou G, Patikas D. The effect of a combined high-intensity strength and speed training program on the running and jumping ability of soccer players. *J Strength Cond Res* 2005;19(2):369-375.



10. Bartlett JD, Close GL, MacLaren DP, Gregson W, Drust B, Morton JP. High-intensity interval running is perceived to be more enjoyable than moderate-intensity continuous exercise: implications for exercise adherence. *J Sports Sci* 2011;29(6):547-553.
11. Wahl P, Guldner M, Mester J. Effects and sustainability of a 13-day high-intensity shock microcycle in soccer. *J Sports Med* 2014;13:259.
12. Dolci F, Kilding AE, Chivers P, Piggott B, Hart NH. High-intensity interval training shock microcycle for enhancing sport performance: A brief review. *J Strength Cond Res* 2020;34(4):1188-1196.
13. Paul DJ, Marques JB, Nassis GP. The effect of a concentrated period of soccer specific fitness training with small-sided games on physical fitness in youth players. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness* 2018;59: 962–968.
14. Joo CH. The effects of short term detraining and retraining on physical fitness in elite soccer players. *PloS one* 2018;13(5):e0196212.
15. Benson AC, Bruce L, Gordon BA. Reliability and validity of a GPS-enabled iPhone™ “app” to measure physical activity. *J Sports Sci* 2015;33(14):1421-1428.
16. Rampinini E, Bishop D, Marcora SM, Bravo DF, Sassi R, Impellizzeri FM. Validity of simple field tests as indicators of match-related physical performance in top-level professional soccer players. *Int J Sports Med* 2007;28(03):228-235.
17. Bangsbo J. Fitness training in football: a scientific approach. August Krogh Inst., University of Copenhagen, 1994.
18. Tierney PJ, Young A, Clarke ND, Duncan MJ. Match play demands of 11 versus 11 professional football using global positioning system tracking: Variations across common playing formations. *Hum Mov Sci* 2016;491–8.
19. Rampinini E, Alberti G, Fiorenza M, Riggio M, Sassi R, Borges T, Coutts AJ. Accuracy of GPS devices for measuring high-intensity running in field-based team sports. *Int J Sports Med* 2015;36:49–53.
20. Slaughter MH, Lohman TG, Boileau RA, Horswill CA, Stillman RG, Van loan MD, Bembien DA. Skinfold equations for estimation of body fatness in children and youth. *Hum Biol* 1988;60(5):709-723.
21. Durnin JVGA, Rahaman MM. The assessment of the amount of fat in the human body from measurements of skinfold thickness. *Brit J Nut* 1967;21:681-689.

22. Siri WE. The gross composition of the body. *Adv Biol Med Phys* 1965;4:239-280.
23. Granata C, Oliveira RS, Little JP, Renner K, Bishop DJ. Mitochondrial adaptations to high-volume exercise training are rapidly reversed after a reduction in training volume in human skeletal muscle. *FASEB J* 2016;30: 3413–3423.
24. Breil FA, Weber SN, Koller S, Hoppeler H, Vogt M. Block training periodization in alpine skiing: Effects of 11-day HIIT on VO<sub>2</sub>max and performance. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2010;109:1077–1086.
25. Rønnestad B, Hansen J, Ellefsen S. Block periodization of high-intensity aerobic intervals provides superior training effects in trained cyclists. *Scan J Med Sci Sports* 2014;24:34–42.
26. Rønnestad BR, Hansen J, Thyli V, Bakken TA, Sandbakk Ø. 5-week block periodization increases aerobic power in elite cross-country skiers. *Scan J Med Sci Sports* 2016;26:140–146.
27. Martinez-Valdes E, Falla D, Negro F, Mayer F, Farina D. Differential motor unit changes after endurance or high-intensity interval training. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2017;49:1126–1136.
28. Krstrup P, Mohr M, Nybo L, Jensen JM, Nielsen JJ, Bangsbo J. The Yo-Yo IR2 test: physiological response, reliability, and application to elite soccer. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2006;38(9):1666-1673.
29. Dellal A, Casamichana D, Castellano J, Haddad M, Moalla W, Chamari K. Cardiac parasympathetic reactivation in elite soccer players during different types of traditional high-intensity training exercise modes and specific tests: interests and limits. *Asian J Sports Med* 2015;6(4):e25723.
30. Ostojic SM, Markovic G, Calleja-Gonzalez J, Jakovljevic DG, Vucetic V, Stojanovic MD. Ultra short-term heart rate recovery after maximal exercise in continuous versus intermittent endurance athletes. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2010;108(5):1055-1059.
31. Andersen P, Henriksson J. Capillary supply of the quadriceps femoris muscle of man: Adaptive response to exercise. *J Physiol* 1977;270:677–690.
32. Menz V, Strobl J, Faulhaber M, Gatterer H, Burtscher M. Effect of 3-week high-intensity interval training on VO<sub>2</sub>max, total haemoglobin mass, plasma and blood volume in well-trained athletes. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2015;115: 2349–2356.

33. Gatterer H, Klarod K, Heinrich D, Schlemmer P, Dilitz S, Burtscher M. Effects of a 12-day maximal shuttle-run shock microcycle in hypoxia on soccer specific performance and oxidative stress. *Applied Physiology Nutrition Metabolism* 2015;40:842–845.
34. MacInnis MJ, Gibala MJ. Physiological adaptations to interval training and the role of exercise intensity. *J Physiol* 2017;595(9):2915–2930.
35. MacInnis MJ, Zacharewicz E, Martin BJ, Haikalis ME, Skelly LE, Tarnopolsky MA, et al. Superior mitochondrial adaptations in human skeletal muscle after interval compared to continuous single-leg cycling matched for total work. *J Physiol* 2017;595(9):2955–2968.
36. Fransson D, Nielsen TS, Olsson K, Christensson T, Bradley PS, Fatouros IG, et al. Skeletal muscle and performance adaptations to high-intensity training in elite male soccer players: speed endurance runs versus small-sided game training. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2018;118(1):111–121.
37. Harmer, A. R., McKenna, M. J., Sutton, J. R., Snow, R. J., Ruell, P. A., Booth, J., et al. Skeletal muscle metabolic and ionic adaptations during intense exercise following sprint training in humans. *J Appl Physiol*(Bethesda, Md.: 1985) 2000;89(5):1793–1803.
38. Skovgaard C, Almquist NW, Bangsbo J. Effect of increased and maintained frequency of speed endurance training on performance and muscle adaptations in runners. *J Appl Physiol*(Bethesda, Md.: 1985) 2017;122(1):48–59.
39. Gibala MJ, Little JP, Van Essen M, Wilkin GP, Burgomaster KA, Safdar A, et al. Short-term sprint interval versus traditional endurance training: Similar initial adaptations in human skeletal muscle and exercise performance. *J Physiol* 2006;575: 901-911.
40. Jacobs I, Esbjornsson M, Sylven C, Holm I, Jansson E. Sprint training effects on muscle myoglobin, enzymes, fiber types, and blood lactate. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*1987;19:368-374.

**Table 1.** COVID-19 intervention period (IP) training plan

| <b>Session 1</b>   | <b>Session 2</b>   | <b>Session 3</b>  |
|--|--|---|
| 10' low intensity running  | 10' low intensity running  | 10' low intensity running   |
| 10' dynamic warm up  | 10' dynamic warm up  | 10' dynamic warm up   |
| Intervallic running drill:<br>15'' – 120% $vVO_2$ max<br>15'' – walking<br>Reps: 10<br>Sets: 3 (4 after 4 <sup>th</sup> week)<br>Recovery/ set: 3' | Aerobic running drill<br>10' – 0.8 RER speed<br>Recovery: 3'<br>Sets: 3 (4 after 4 <sup>th</sup> week) | Intervallic running drill:<br>4' – 1 RER speed<br>Recovery: 2' 30''<br>Sets: 4 (5 after 4 <sup>th</sup> week) |
| <b>Session 4</b>   | <b>Session 5</b>   |   |
| 10' low intensity running  | 10' low intensity running  |   |
| 10' dynamic warm up  | 10' dynamic warm up  |   |
| Intervallic running drill:<br>15'' – 120% $vVO_2$ max<br>15'' – walking<br>Reps: 10<br>Sets: 3 (4 after 4 <sup>th</sup> week)<br>Recovery/ set: 3' | Aerobic running drill<br>10' – 0.8 RER speed<br>Recovery: 3'<br>Sets: 3 (4 after 4 <sup>th</sup> week) |   |

$vVO_2$ max: velocity on  $VO_2$ max; RER: respiratory exchange ratio

**Table 2.** Structure of the shock microcycles and RPE values (average value  $\pm$  SD)

| Day    | Type of training                       | RPE           | RPE $\times$ Duration |
|--------|--|---------------|-----------------------|
| Day 1  | Plyometrics –HIIT <sub>programA</sub>  | 6.9 $\pm$ 0.9 | 347 $\pm$ 46          |
| Day 2  | HIIT <sub>programB</sub>               | 7.4 $\pm$ 1   | 405 $\pm$ 56          |
| Day 3  | Technique                              | 4.8 $\pm$ 1   | 297 $\pm$ 61          |
| Day 4  | Plyometrics - RST                      | 7.5 $\pm$ 1.1 | 383 $\pm$ 55          |
| Day 5  | Techniques                             | 4.4 $\pm$ 1   | 243 $\pm$ 53          |
| Day 6  | HIIT <sub>programB</sub>               | 7.4 $\pm$ 1   | 430 $\pm$ 83          |
| Day 7  | DAY OFF                                |               |                       |
| Day 8  | Plyometrics - HIIT <sub>programA</sub> | 7.4 $\pm$ 1.2 | 479 $\pm$ 66          |
| Day 9  | SSG 4vs4                               | 7.8 $\pm$ 1.2 | 491 $\pm$ 75          |
| Day 10 | Tactical Training                      | 5.4 $\pm$ 1.2 | 293 $\pm$ 63          |
| Day11  | Plyometrics - RST                      | 7.7 $\pm$ 1.4 | 433 $\pm$ 77          |
| Day 12 | Tactical Training                      | 7.2 $\pm$ 1   | 432 $\pm$ 80          |
| Day 13 | SSG 4vs4                               | 8.2 $\pm$ 1.2 | 473 $\pm$ 70          |
| Day 14 | DAY OFF                                |               |                       |

Plyometrics: 4 drills, 3 sets jump exercises & 5m acceleration. HIIT<sub>programA</sub>: High intensity interval training, 15'' at 120% vVO<sub>2</sub>max, 15'' recovery, 8 reps. HIIT<sub>programB</sub>: High intensity interval training, 1.0 RER, 4 minutes, 4 reps. Technique: 20' warm up, 20' coordination – technique drills, 20' passing drills. RST: 35m sprint, 6 reps, recovery 20'', 2 sets. SGG: small side games, 4v4 + 2 GK, pitch area: 102m<sup>2</sup>/ player, duration 4', recovery 2', sets: 4. Tactical training: 25' warm up, 45' tactical drills. OFF: day off. RPE: rate of perceived exertion

**Table 3.** Participants' anthropometric characteristics.

|              | <b>Pre</b>  | <b>Post</b> | <b>t</b> | <b>p</b> | <b>r<sup>2</sup></b>  |
|--------------|-------------|-------------|----------|----------|-----------------------|
| Age (y)      | 27.4 ± 5.1  | 27.4 ± 5.1  |          |          |                       |
| Height (m)   | 177.3 ± 6.8 | 177.3 ± 6.8 |          |          |                       |
| Weight (kg)  | 76.4 ± 6.3  | 76.0 ± 6.1  | t=1.668  | p=0.113  | r <sup>2</sup> =0.134 |
| Body fat (%) | 6.27 ± 1.94 | 6.12 ± 1.85 | t=2.013  | p=0.059  | r <sup>2</sup> =0.184 |

Data are presented as mean ± SD


Journal Pre-proof

**Table 4.** Results of the Yo-Yo intermittent recovery test level 2 (distance, heart rate) (average value  $\pm$  SD)

|                          | Measurement      |                   |
|--------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
|                          | Pre1st           | Post2nd           |
| YYIR <sub>2TD</sub> (m)  | 1519 $\pm$ 463   | 1683 $\pm$ 402*   |
| YYIR <sub>26HR</sub> (%) | 97.00 $\pm$ 2.18 | 95.64 $\pm$ 1.95* |
| YYIR <sub>2HRR</sub> (%) | 82.3 $\pm$ 4.62  | 81.1 $\pm$ 4.63   |

TD: total distance; 6HR: 6<sup>th</sup> minute heart rate percentage; HRR: heart rate recovery.


\*denotes significant difference between measurements.




**Figure 1.** Study design

Journal Pre-proof





**Figure 2.** Repeated sprint ability (RSA) results.



**Figure 3.** A. Distances covered during the 2 weeks of shock microcycle: Total distance, High metabolic load distance, High-speed running distance. B. Presented the accelerations and decelerations that performed by players during training.